arXiv:1408.1989v2 [math.DG] 20 Nov 2014

ON LOCAL MINIMIZING PROPERTY OF INTEGRAL NORMS OF THE RIEMANNIAN CURVATURE TENSOR

SOMA MAITY

Abstract. We consider the Riemannian functional defined on the space of Riemannian metrics with unit volume on a closed smooth manifold M given by $\mathcal{R}_p(g) := \int_M |R(g)|^p dv_g$ where $R(g)$, dv_g denote Riemannian curvature tensor and volume form of a Riemannian metric g. We prove that the rank 1 symmetric spaces of compact type and compact quotients of the rank 1 symmetric spaces of non-compact type are stable for $\mathcal{R}_p$ for certain values of p. It follows from some standard techniques that they are local minima for $\mathcal{R}_p$ for those p.

1. Introduction

Fix a closed smooth manifold M with dimension $n \geq 3$ and $p \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{R}_p$ denote the L^p-norm of the Riemannian curvature tensor.

$$\mathcal{R}_p(g) = \int_M |R(g)|^p dv_g$$

where $R(g)$ and dv_g denote the Riemannian curvature tensor and the volume form of a Riemannian metric g. It is a real valued function defined on the space of Riemannian metrics on M and remains invariant under the action of the group of diffeomorphisms of M. $\mathcal{R}_p$ is not scale invariant unless $p = \frac{n}{2}$. Hence we restrict the functional to the space of unite volume Riemannian metrics $\mathcal{M}_1$. Consider $C^{2,\alpha}$ topology on it.

Convergence and collapsing of the minimizing sequences of $\mathcal{R}_p$ have been studied by Anderson, Yang, Gao etc. [1],[11],[8]. If $p < \frac{n}{2}$, $\inf \mathcal{R}_p = 0$ for every closed manifold. The Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula implies that the Einstein metrics are absolute minima for $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{n}{2}}$ in dimension 2 and 4. For any $p > \frac{n}{2}$ if $\inf \mathcal{R}_p$ is zero, M admits an F-structure of positive rank, in the sense of Cheeger-Gromov [11]. If the Euler characteristic of M is non-zero, M does not admit an F-structure [6],[7]. Hence $\mathcal{R}_p$ has a positive global minimum for $p > \frac{n}{2}$. For a survey in this topic we refer to [2].

The compact irreducible locally symmetric spaces are critical points of $\mathcal{R}_p$. The metrics with constant sectional curvature are local minima for $\mathcal{R}_p$ [9] for certain values of p. There are some irreducible symmetric spaces of higher rank which are not local minima for $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{n}{2}}$ [5]. In this paper we study the stability and local minimizing properties of $\mathcal{R}_p$ at rank 1 symmetric spaces. Rank 1 symmetric spaces of compact type are spheres, complex and quaternionic projective spaces and the Cayley projective plane (denoted by $\mathbb{C}P^m$, $\mathbb{H}P^m$ and $\mathbb{O}P^2$ respectively). Each compact symmetric space has a non-compact dual with the same rank.

Theorem 1. *Let (M, g) be a closed smooth manifold with unit volume. (M, g) is a local minimizer for $\mathcal{R}_p$ in the following cases:*

(i) $\mathbb{C}P^m$ and $p \geq 2$

(ii) $\mathbb{H}P^m$ and $p \geq 2m$

(iii) $\mathbb{O}P^2$ and $p \geq 6$

(iv) (M, g) is a compact quotient of a rank 1 symmetric space of non-compact type with dimension n and $p \geq \frac{n}{2}$.

Key words and phrases. Riemannian functional, critical point, stability, local minima.

Note that the real dimension of $\mathbb{H}P^m$ is $4m$ and the above theorem holds for $p \geq 2m$. It follows from the proof of the theorem that $\mathbb{H}P^m$ is not a local minimizer for $\mathcal{R}_p$ when $p \leq m$.

To prove the main theorem we study the second variation of $\mathcal{R}_p$ at rank 1 symmetric spaces. The space of Riemannian metrics is an open convex cone in $S^2(T^*M)$, the space of symmetric 2-tensors on M. The gradient of $\mathcal{R}_p$ (denoted by $\nabla\mathcal{R}_p$) is a vector field on $\mathcal{M}_1$. It is a 4th order non-linear PDE in g. The Hessian at a critical point of $\mathcal{R}_p$ is given by

$$H_p(h_1, h_2) = \langle (\nabla\mathcal{R}_p)'_g(h_1), h_2 \rangle \quad \forall\ h_1, h_2 \in S^2(T^*M)$$

where $(\nabla\mathcal{R}_p)'_g(h_1)$ denotes the derivative of $\nabla\mathcal{R}_p$ at g along h_1. We have the following decomposition of $S^2(T^*M)$ for an Einstein metric g which is not a sphere [3].

$$S^2(T^*M) = \mathrm{Im}\delta_g^* \oplus C^\infty(M).g \oplus (\delta_g^{-1}(0) \cap \mathrm{tr}_g^{-1}(0)) \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathrm{Im}\delta_g^*$ is the tangent space of the group of diffeomorphisms of M. Since $\mathcal{R}_p$ is invariant under the action of group of diffeomophisms, H_p restricted to $\mathrm{Im}\delta_g^*$ is zero. Define

$$\mathcal{W} = (\delta_g^{-1}(0) \cap \mathrm{tr}_g^{-1}(0)) \oplus \{fg : f \in C^\infty(M), \int_M f dv_g = 0\}$$

A Riemannian metric g which is not a sphere, is called *stable* for $\mathcal{R}_p$ if H_p restricted to the unit sphere of $\mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{W}$ has a positive lower bound i.e. the eigenvalues of H_p are bounded from below by a positive constant. To study the stability of $\mathcal{R}_p$ at rank 1 symmetric spaces we use (1.1) and prove the following theorems.

Theorem 2. *Let (M, g) be a compact quotient of a rank 1 symmetric space. (M, g) is stable for $\mathcal{R}_p$ restricted to $\delta_g^{-1}(0) \cap tr_g^{-1}(0)$ for all $p \geq 2$ i.e. there exists an $\epsilon(n, p, |R|) > 0$ such that for any symmetric 2-tensor h with $\delta_g h = 0$ and $tr_g(h) = 0$ the following holds.*

$$H_p(h, h) \geq \epsilon \|h\|^2.$$

where $\|.\|$ denotes the L^2-norm on $S^2(T^*M)$ defined by g.

Next considering H_p on the conformal variations of g we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let (M, g) be a rank 1 symmetric space of compact type. (M, g) is stable for $\mathcal{R}_p$ restricted to the conformal variations of g in the following cases.*
(i) $\mathbb{C}P^m$ and $p \geq 2$.
(ii) $\mathbb{H}P^m$ and $p \geq 2m$.
(iii) $\mathbb{O}P^2$ and $p \geq 6$.

From the proof of Theorem 2 we observe a partial stability result for a non-compact quotient of a rank 1 symmetric space of non-compact type with finite volume.

Proposition 1. *Let (M, g) be a non-compact quotient with finite volume of a rank 1 symmetric space of non-compact type. There exists an $\epsilon_1(n, p, |R|) > 0$ such that for all compactly supported 2-tensors h with $\delta_g h = 0$ and $tr_g h = 0$*

$$H_p(h, h) \geq \epsilon_1 \|h\|^2 \text{ for } p \geq 2.$$

There exists an $\epsilon_2(n, p, |R|) > 0$ such that for all compactly supported smooth functions f with $\int_M f dv_g = 0$

$$H_p(fg, fg) \geq \epsilon_2 \|f\|^2 \text{ for } p \geq \frac{n}{2}.$$

The gradient of $\mathcal{R}_p$ contains 2nd order derivatives of $|R|^{p-2}R$. For $p = 2$, the $|R|^{p-2}$ factor vanishes. Then applying the differential Bianchi identity one has a formula for $\nabla\mathcal{R}_2$ which

contains 2nd order derivatives of Ricci curvature (r) and scalar curvature (s) along with a few lower order terms. The expression for $\nabla\mathcal{R}_2$ restricted to $\mathcal{M}_1$ is given by

$$\nabla\mathcal{R}_2(g) = 4D^*Dr + 2Dds + 4r \circ r - 4\overset{\circ}{R}(r) - 2\check{R} + \frac{1}{2}|R|^2 g + (\frac{2}{n} - \frac{1}{2})\|R\|^2 g \tag{1.2}$$

We refer to Section 2 for the notations. We prove Theorem 2 for $p = 2$ using (1.2). Since H_p restricted to $\delta_g^{-1}(0) \cap tr_g^{-1}(0)$ is a constant multiple of H_2 [9] we obtain Theorem 2 for all $p \geq 2$.

In section 3 we derive a formula (3.1) for H_2 using (1.2) for a general Einstein manifold. From (1.2) we also have that g is a critical for $\mathcal{R}_2$ if and only if

$$\check{R} = \frac{|R|^2}{n} g$$

If the holonomy representation of (M, g) is irreducible, the Schure's Lemma implies that g is a critical metric of $\mathcal{R}_2$. We give a criterion for the stability of g for $\mathcal{R}_2$ restricted to $C^\infty(M)$ in terms of the first positive eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ of (M, g).

In Section 4, we compute the first variation of $\check{R}$ at rank 1 symmetric spaces and various curvature terms appeared in (3.1). We prove Theorem 2 and 3 in Section 5. Consider a symmetric 2-tensor $h \in \delta_g^{-1}(0) \cap tr^{-1}(0)$. We write $H_2(h, h)$ in terms of $\|D^*Dh\|^2$ and lower order terms in h using the results obtained in the previous section. When (M, g) is a rank 1 symmetric space of compact type we prove the stability of H_2 using the positivity of the scalar curvature. In the non-compact case we use a Böchner-Witzenböck type formula to get the same.

Next consider H_p restricted to $C^\infty(M)$. Using the Böchner-Witzenböck formula for one-forms one writes $H_p(fg, fg)$ in terms of Δf, n and p. Then using the estimates for the first positive eigenvalues of Δ given in [10], we obtain the stability of $\mathcal{R}_p$ restricted to $C^\infty(M).g$ of a rank 1 symmetric space of compact type.

If (M, g) is a compact quotient of a rank 1 symmetric space of non-compact type then $\mathcal{R}_p$ restricted to $C^\infty(M).g$ is stable for $p \geq \frac{n}{2}$ [5]. The proof of Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2 and 3. The stability of $\mathcal{R}_p$ for a general quaternionic Kähler manifold and a conformally flat manifold is still unknown.

Acknowledgement: The author would like to thank Fourier Institute, University Joseph Fourier, Grenoble for supporting this work .

2. Notations and definitions

Let $(,)$, $\langle,\rangle$ be point-wise and global inner products defined by g on tensors and $|.|$, $\|.\|$ denote norms corresponding to them. Let $\{v_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis of (M, g). $\check{R}$ is a symmetric 2-tensor defined by

$$\check{R}(x, y) = \sum_{i,j,k} R(x, v_i, v_j, v_k)R(y, v_i, v_j, v_k).$$

$\overset{\circ}{R}$ is a symmetric operator on $S^2(T^*M)$ defined by the following.

$$\overset{\circ}{R}(h)(x, y) := \sum R(v_i, x, v_j, y)h(v_i, v_j).$$

Let $\wedge^2 M$ denote the space of alternating bi-vectors of T_pM. Then $\{v_i \wedge v_j\}_{i<j}$ forms a orthonormal basis of $\wedge^2 M$. Taking tr with respect to this basis we define an inner product on $S^2 \wedge^2 M$ by

$$\langle A, B\rangle = tr(A \circ B)$$

An algebraic curvature tensor R can also be viewed as an element of $S^2 \wedge TM$. Note that according to our convention,

$$|R|^2 = \sum R^2(v_i, v_j, v_k, v_l) = 4tr(R \circ R).$$

The Kulkarni-Nomizu product of two symmetric 2-tensors h_1 and h_2 is defined by

$$h_1 \wedge h_2(x, y, z, w) = [h_1(x, z)h_2(y, w) + h_1(y, w)h_2(x, z) - h_1(x, w)h_2(y, z) - h_1(y, z)h_2(x, w)]$$

Let D be the Riemannian connection. The divergence operator δ_g acting on $S^2(T^*M)$ is given by

$$\delta_g(h)(x) = -D_{v_i}h(v_i, x) \text{ for } h \in S^2(T^*M)$$

D^* and δ_g^* denote the formal adjoints of D and δ_g respectively. Let Δ denote the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $C^\infty(M)$ defined by

$$\Delta f = -trDdf$$

Next we recall some formulae from [3] for first variation of some geometric quantities. Let g_t be a one-parameter family of metrics with $\frac{d}{dt}(g_t)_{|t=0} = h$. If $T(t)$ is a tensor depending on g_t, $T'_g(h)$ denotes $\frac{d}{dt}T(t)_{|t=0}$. $D'_g(h)$, $R'_g(h)$ and $r'_g(h)$ are denoted by Π_h, $\bar{R}$ and r'. We have the following formulae,

$$g(\Pi_h(x, y), z) = \frac{1}{2}\{D_x h(y, z) + D_y h(x, z) - D_z h(x, y)\} \tag{2.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{R}_h(x, y, z, u) = \frac{1}{2}[&D^2_{y,z}h(x, w) + D^2_{x,w}h(y, z) - D^2_{x,z}h(y, w) - D^2_{y,w}h(x, z) \\ &+h(R(x, y, z), w) - h(R(x, y, w), z)]\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

$$r'(h) = \frac{1}{2}\{D^*Dh + r \circ h + h \circ r - 2\overset{\circ}{R}(h) - 2\delta_g^*\delta_g h - Dd(trh)\} \tag{2.3}$$

Ricci curvature of $\bar{R}$ is denoted by $\bar{r}$. From the above formula we have $r' = \bar{r} - \overset{\circ}{R}(h)$.

3. Second variation of $\mathcal{R}_2$

Let (M, g) be a closed Einstein manifold which is also a critical point of $\mathcal{R}_2$ and λ be its Einstein constant. We fix g as a background metric and study the second variation of $\mathcal{R}_2$ at g. First we prove a few lemmas.

Lemma 1. $(D^*Dr)'(h) = -\lambda D^*Dh + D^*Dr'_h$

Proof. Since g is Einstein $Dr = 0$. Therefore $(D^*)'(Dr) = 0$ and we have

$$(D^*Dr)'(h) = D^*(D'(h)r) + D^*D(r'(h)).$$

If T is a t-independent 2-tensor then,

$$D'(h)T(x, y, z) = (D_x T(y, z))'(h) = -T(\Pi_h(x, y), z) - T(y, \Pi_h(x, z))$$

Putting $T = r = \lambda g$ and using (2.1) we have,

$$D'(h)r = -\lambda Dh$$

Hence the lemma follows. □

Lemma 2. $(r \circ r)'(h) = 2\lambda r'_h - \lambda^2 h$

Proof. Using a local coordinate chart $r \circ r$ can be written as

$$(r \circ r)_{mn} = g^{ij} r_{mi} r_{nj}.$$

Differentiating it with respect to t we have,

$$\begin{aligned}(r \circ r)'(h)_{mn} &= (g^{ij})' r_{mi} r_{nj} + g^{ij} r'_{mi} r_{nj} + g^{ij} r_{mi} r'_{nj} \\ &= -g^{ik} h_{kl} g^{lj} r_{mi} r_{nj} + r'(h) \circ r_{mn} + r \circ r'(h)_{mn}\end{aligned}$$

Since g is Einstein we have, $(r \circ r)'(h) = -\lambda^2 h + 2\lambda r'(h)$. □

Lemma 3. $(\overset{\circ}{R}(r))'(h) = -\lambda \overset{\circ}{R}(h) + \overset{\circ}{R}(r'_h) + \lambda r'_h$

Proof. Expressing $\overset{\circ}{R}$ in a local co-ordinate chart we have,

$$(\overset{\circ}{R}(r))_{pq} = g^{i_1 i_2} g^{j_1 j_2} R_{p i_1 q j_1} r_{i_1 j_2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}(\overset{\circ}{R}(r))'_g(h)_{pq} &= -(g^{i_1 i_2})' g^{j_1 j_2} R_{p i_1 q j_1} r_{i_2 j_2} + g^{i_1 i_2} (g^{j_1 j_2})' R_{p i_1 q j_1} r_{i_2 j_2} \\ &\quad + g^{i_1 i_2} g^{j_1 j_2} (R'_g h)_{p i_1 q j_1} r_{i_2 j_2} + g^{i_1 i_2} g^{j_1 j_2} R_{p i_1 q j_1} (r'_g(h))_{i_2 j_2}\end{aligned}$$

Since $g_{ij}(0) = \delta^i_j$ we have,

$$(R(r))'_g(h)_{pq} = -h_{mj} R_{pmqn} r_{nj} - h_{mn} R_{piqm} r_{in} + R'_g(h)_{piqj} r_{ij} + R_{piqj} r'_g(h)_{ij}$$

Since $r = \lambda g$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned}&-h_{mj} R_{pmqn} r_{nj} - h_{mn} R_{piqm} r_{in} = -2\lambda \overset{\circ}{R}(h)_{pq} \\ &R'_g(h)_{piqj} r_{ij} = \lambda r'_{pq} + \lambda \overset{\circ}{R}(h) \\ &R_{piqj} r'_g(h)_{ij} = \overset{\circ}{R}(r')_{pq}\end{aligned}$$

Hence the lemma follows. □

Lemma 4.

$$(Dds)'(h) = -\lambda D d\mathrm{tr} h + D d\mathrm{tr}(r'_h)$$

Proof. Since g is Einstein $(Dds)'(h) = Dds'(h)$. Next the lemma follows from 1.181 of [3]. □

Lemma 5.

$$(|R|^2)'(fg) = 4\lambda \Delta f - 2f|R|^2$$

Proof. The proof follows from the proof of Lemma in [9]. □

Define a 4 tensor $\mathcal{K}$ by

$$\mathcal{K}(x,y,z,w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \{R(x,v_i,z,v_j) R(y,v_i,w,v_j) + R(x,v_i,w,v_j) R(y,v_i,z,v_j)\}$$

Let $r_{R \circ \bar{R}}$ denote the ricci curvature of $R \circ \bar{R}$.

Lemma 6.

$$\langle (\check{R})'(h), h \rangle = -2\langle R \circ R, h \wedge h \rangle - 2\langle \mathcal{K}, h \otimes h \rangle + 4\langle r_{R \circ \bar{R}}, h \rangle$$

Proof.

$$\check{R}_{pq} = g^{i_1 i_2} g^{j_1 j_2} g^{k_1 k_2} R_{p i_1 j_1 k_1} R_n q i_2 j_2 k_2$$

Differentiating each terms and evaluating it in an orthonormal basis $\{v_i\}$ and using

$$(g^{ij})' = -g^{im} h_{mn} g^{nj}$$

we have,

$$\begin{aligned}(\check{R}_g)'(h)_{pq} &= -h_{mn}\left(R_{pmij}R_{qnij} + R_{pimj}R_{qinj} + R_{pijm}R_{qijn}\right)\\ &\quad +(R'_g.h)_{pijk}R_{qijk} + R_{pijk}(R'_g.h)_{qijk}\\ &= -h_{mn}[R_{pmij}R_{qnij} + 2R_{pimj}R_{qinj}] + (R'_g.h)_{pijk}R_{qijk} + R_{pijk}(R'_g.h)_{qijk}\end{aligned}$$

By straightforward computations we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle R\circ R, h\wedge h\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}h_{pq}h_{mn}R_{pijk}R_{qijk}\\ \langle \mathcal{K}, h\otimes h\rangle &= h_{pq}h_{mn}R_{pimj}R_{qinj}\\ \langle r_{R\circ\bar{R}}, h\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}h_{pq}R_{pijk}\bar{R}_{qijk}\end{aligned}$$

Hence the lemma follows. □

We replace H_2 by H. Combining Lemma 1-5 we have,

$$\begin{aligned}H(h,h) &= 4\langle r'_h, D^*Dh + \lambda h - \overset{\circ}{R}(h) + \frac{1}{2}(\delta\delta_g h)g\rangle - 4\lambda\langle D^*Dh + \lambda h - \overset{\circ}{R}(h), h\rangle\\ &\quad +2\langle R\circ R, h\wedge h\rangle + 4\langle\mathcal{K}, h\otimes h\rangle - 8\langle r_{R\circ\bar{R}}, h\rangle + \frac{2\|R\|^2}{n}\|h\|^2 \qquad (3.1)\\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2}\langle(|R|^2)'g, h\rangle - 2\lambda\langle Ddtr(h), h\rangle\end{aligned}$$

Consequently we have the following.

Theorem 4. *Let (M,g) be a Einstein critical metric of $\mathcal{R}_2$ with Einstein constant λ and h be a symmetric two tensor with $\delta_g(h) = 0$ and $tr(h) = 0$ then*

$$\begin{aligned}H(h,h) &= 2[\langle D^*Dh - 2\overset{\circ}{R}(h), D^*Dh + \lambda h - \overset{\circ}{R}(h)\rangle + \frac{|R|^2}{n}\|h\|^2\\ &\quad +\langle R\circ R, h\wedge h\rangle + 2\langle\mathcal{K}, h\otimes h\rangle - 4\langle r_{R\circ\bar{R}}, h\rangle]\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $h \in \delta_g^{-1}(0)\cap tr^{-1}(0)$. From the formula (2.2) we have,

$$r'_h = \frac{1}{2}\{D^*Dh + 2\lambda h - 2\overset{\circ}{R}(h)\}.$$

Then the theorem follows from (3.1) by a simple computation. □

Theorem 5. *Let (M,g) be a Einstein critical metric of $\mathcal{R}_2$ with Einstein constant λ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$ with $\int_M f dv_g = 0$ then*

$$H(fg, fg) = 2(n-1)\|\Delta f\|^2 - 8\lambda\|df\|^2 + (4-n)|R|^2\|f\|^2$$

Proof. By simple calculations we have, $\overset{\circ}{R}(fg) = \lambda fg, \delta_g fg = -df$. We also have $D^*D(fg) = \Delta fg$ and $\delta\delta_g fg = -\Delta fg$. Therefore

$$D^*D(fg) + \lambda fg - \overset{\circ}{R}(fg) + \frac{1}{2}(\delta\delta_g fg).g = \frac{1}{2}\Delta fg$$

From (2.3) we have,

$$r'_{fg} = \frac{1}{2}\{-(n-2)Ddf + \Delta fg\}$$

Therefore,

$$4\langle r'_{fg}, D^*D(fg) + \lambda fg - \overset{\circ}{R}(fg) + \frac{1}{2}(\delta\delta_g fg)g\rangle - 4\lambda\langle D^*D(fg) + \lambda fg - \overset{\circ}{R}(fg), fg\rangle$$
$$= 2(n-1)\|\Delta f\|^2$$

From Lemma 2.3 in [5] we have,

$$\langle \check{R}'_g(fg), fg\rangle = 4\lambda\|df\|^2 - |R^2|\|f\|^2$$

Now the theorem follows from (3.1). □

Let μ denote the first positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian Δ. Bochner-Weitzenbök formula says that

$$\Delta df = D^*Df + \lambda df$$

Therefore,

$$\|\Delta f\|^2 = \|Ddf\|^2 + \lambda\|df\|^2$$

Remark 1 : Let $\lambda > 0$. Then using previous formula and Theorem 5 we have,

$$H(fg, fg) > 2(n-5)\|f\|^2 - (n-4)|R|^2\|f\|^2$$

Therefore g is stable for $\mathcal{R}_2$ restricted to the conformal variations if and only if

$$\mu^2 \geq \frac{(n-4)}{2(n-1)}\|R\|^2$$

Remark 2 : If $\lambda \leq 0$ then g is stable for $\mathcal{R}_2$ restricted to the conformal variations if

$$\mu^2 \geq \frac{(n-4)}{2(n-1)}|R|^2$$

4. Curvatures and their variations at Rank 1 symmetric spaces

We recall some basic geometric facts of rank 1 symmetric spaces. Let (M, g) be a rank 1 symmetric space which is not a sphere nor a hyperbolic space. Then T_pM admits a module structure over $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ or $\mathbb{O}$ for any $p \in M$. There are linear isometries $\{J_\alpha, \alpha = 1, 2, .., \tau\}$ of T_pM with the following properties,

$$J_\alpha^2 = Id$$
$$J_\alpha J_\beta = -J_\beta J_\alpha \text{ for } \alpha \neq \beta$$
$$J_\alpha J_\beta(x) \in \text{span}\big(J_0(x), J_1(x), ..., J_\tau(x)\big)$$

where J_0 denotes identity of T_pM. $\tau = 1, 3, 7$ in case of $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{H}$ or $\mathbb{O}$ modules respectively. Given an unit vector e_1 of T_pM one may extend it to an orthonormal frame of the form $\{e_{\alpha j}\}$ in a neighborhood of p where $e_{\alpha j} = J_\alpha e_j$ and the curvature tensor is given by the following.

$$R(e_{\alpha i}, e_{\beta j}, e_{\gamma k}) = 0 \text{ if } k \neq i \neq j$$
$$R(e_{\gamma i}, e_{\alpha i}, e_{\beta i}) = 0 \text{ if } \alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma$$
$$R(e_{\alpha i}, e_{\beta j}, e_{\alpha i}, e_{\beta j}) = c \text{ when } \{\alpha, i\} \neq \{\beta, j\}$$
$$R(e_{\alpha i}, e_{\beta i}, e_{\alpha j}, e_{\beta j}) = 2c \text{ if } i \neq j \text{ and } \alpha \neq \beta$$
$$R(e_{\alpha i}, e_{\beta i}, e_{\alpha i}, e_{\beta i}) = 4c \text{ for } \alpha \neq \beta$$

where c is a non-zero constant. Since each J_α is an isometry we also have, $R(x, y, J_\alpha z, J_\alpha w) = R(x, y, z, w)$ for any $x, y, z, w \in T_pM$. Hence $R(e_{\alpha i}, e_{\alpha j}, e_{\beta i}, e_{\beta j}) = c$.

For a symmetric 2-tensor h define $\tilde{h}$ by $\tilde{h}(x, y) = \sum_{\alpha\neq 0} h(J_\alpha x, J_\alpha y)$.

Lemma 7. *Let (M, g) be a rank 1 symmetric space. Then*

$$\overset{\circ}{R}(h) = 3c\tilde{h} - ch + ctr(h)g$$

.

Proof. Let e_1 be a unit vector. We extend e_1 to a basis $\{e_{i\alpha}\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\overset{\circ}{R}(h)(e_1, e_1) &= R(e_1, ., e_1, .)h(., .) \\
&= \sum_i R(e_1, e_i, e_1, e_i)h(e_i, e_i) + \sum_{\alpha,i} R(e_1, e_{\alpha i}, e_1, e_{\alpha i})h(e_{\alpha i}, e_{\alpha i}) \\
&= c\sum_{i\neq 1}[h(e_i, e_i) + h(e_{\alpha i}, e_{\alpha i})] + +4c\sum_{\alpha} h(e_{\alpha 1}, e_{\alpha 1}) \\
&= 3c\tilde{h}(e_1, e_1) + ctr(h) - ch(e_1, e_1)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Since $\overset{\circ}{R}(g) = r = \lambda g$, the Einstein constant $\lambda = c(3\tau + n - 1)$ and the scalar curvature $s = cn(3\tau + n - 1)$.

Next we view any algebraic curvature tensor R_1 as an element of $S^2 \wedge^2 M$. We say $\alpha i < \beta j$ if $\alpha < \beta$ and $i = j$ or $i < j$. Define $\tilde{R}_1$ by

$$\tilde{R}_1(x \wedge y) = \sum_{\gamma\neq 0, \alpha i<\beta j} \langle R(x, y, J_\gamma e_{\alpha i}, J_\gamma e_{\beta j}), e_{\alpha i} \wedge e_{\beta j}$$

If R is the curvature tensor of a rank 1 symmetric space then $\tilde{R}(x \wedge y) = \tau R(x \wedge y)$.

Lemma 8.

$$R \circ R_1(x \wedge y) = cR_1(x \wedge y) + c\tilde{R}_1(x \wedge y) + c\sum_{\alpha<\beta} R_1(x, y, J_\gamma e_{\alpha j}, J_\gamma e_{\beta j})e_{\alpha i} \wedge e_{\beta i}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
g\big(R \circ R_1(x \wedge y), e_i \wedge e_{\alpha i}\big) &= \sum_{\beta j<\delta k} R(e_i, e_{\alpha i}, e_{\beta j}, e_{\delta k})R_1(x, y, e_{\beta j}, e_{\delta k}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\sum R(e_i, e_{\alpha i}, e_{\beta j}, e_{\delta k})R_1(x, y, e_{\beta j}, e_{\delta k}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\sum R(e_i, e_{\alpha i}, J_\gamma e_j, J_\gamma e_{\alpha j})R_1(x, y, J_\gamma e_j, J_\gamma e_{\alpha j}) \\
&= c\sum_\gamma R_1(x, y, J_\gamma e_i, J_\gamma e_{\alpha i}) + c\sum_{\gamma,j} R_1(x, y, J_\gamma e_j, J_\gamma e_{\alpha j})
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
g\big(R \circ R_1(x \wedge y), e_i \wedge e_j\big) &= \sum R(e_i, e_j, e_{\alpha i}, e_{\alpha j})R_1(x, y, e_{\alpha i}, e_{\alpha j}) \\
&= c\sum R_1(x, y, e_{\alpha i}, e_{\alpha j})
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
R \circ R_1(x \wedge y) &= c\sum_{\alpha<\beta}\{R_1(x, y, J_\gamma e_{\alpha i}, J_\gamma e_{\beta i}) + R_1(x, y, J_\gamma e_{\alpha j}, J_\gamma e_{\beta j})\}e_{\alpha i} \wedge e_{\beta i} \\
&\quad + c\sum_{i<j} R_1(x, y, J_\gamma e_{\alpha i}, J_\gamma e_{\beta j})e_{\alpha i} \wedge e_{\beta j}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the lemma follows. □

Consequently the next lemma follows.

Lemma 9.

$$R \circ R(x \wedge y) = c(\tau+1)R(x \wedge y) + c(\tau+1)\sum_{\alpha<\beta} R(x, y, e_{\alpha j}, e_{\beta j})e_{\alpha i} \wedge e_{\beta i}$$

Therefore we have the following lemma.

Lemma 10.

$$|R|^2 = 2c^2 n(5\tau^2 + 3n\tau + 4\tau + n - 1)$$

Therefore,

Lemma 11.

$$\langle R \circ R, h \wedge h\rangle = c(n+\tau+1)\langle \overset{\circ}{R}(h), h\rangle + 4c^2 n\|h\|^2$$

Proof. Using Lemma 9 we have,

$$\langle R\circ R, h\wedge h\rangle = c(\tau+1)\langle R, h\wedge h\rangle + c(\tau+1)\sum_{\alpha<\beta,\sigma m<\delta n} R(e_{\sigma m}, e_{\delta n}, e_{\alpha j}, e_{\beta j})h\wedge h(e_{\sigma m}, e_{\delta n}, e_{\alpha i}, e_{\beta i})$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
&c(\tau+1)\sum_{\alpha<\beta,\sigma m<\delta n} R(e_{\sigma m}, e_{\delta n}, e_{\alpha j}, e_{\beta j})h\wedge h(e_{\sigma m}, e_{\delta n}, e_{\alpha i}, e_{\beta i})\\
&= \frac{c}{2}(\tau+1)\sum_{\alpha<\beta} R(J_\gamma e_{\alpha k}, J_\gamma e_{\beta k}, e_{\alpha j}, e_{\beta j})\langle h\wedge h(J_\gamma e_{\alpha k}\wedge J_\gamma e_{\beta k}), e_{\alpha i}\wedge e_{\beta i}\rangle\\
&= 3c^2 n\sum_{\alpha<\beta}\langle h\wedge h(J_\gamma e_{\alpha k}\wedge J_\gamma e_{\beta k}), e_{\alpha i}\wedge e_{\beta i}\rangle\\
&= 3c^2 n\sum_{\alpha<\beta}\{h(e_{\alpha i}, J_\gamma e_{\alpha k})h(e_{\beta i}, J_\gamma e_{\beta k}) - h(J_\gamma e_{\alpha k}, e_{\beta i})h(e_{\alpha i}, J_\gamma e_{\beta k})\}\\
&= 3c^2 n(h, \tilde{h} + h)\\
&= cn(\overset{\circ}{R}(h), h) + 4c^2 n|h|^2
\end{aligned}$$

To compute the remaining consider an orthonormal basis $\{v_i\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i<j}\langle R(v_i\wedge v_j), h\wedge h(v_i\wedge v_j)\rangle &= \sum_{i<j,k<l} 2R(v_i, v_j, v_k, v_l)[h(v_i, v_k)h(v_j, v_l) - h(v_i, v_l)h(v_j, v_k)]\\
&= \langle \overset{\circ}{R}(h), h\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the lemma follows. □

Lemma 12.

$$r_{R\circ R_1}(x,x) = cr_1(x,x) + c\sum_{\gamma\neq 0}\{R_1(x, e_{\beta i}, J_\gamma x, J_\gamma e_{\beta i}) + \frac{1}{2}R_1(x, J_\gamma x, e_{\beta i}, J_\gamma e_{\beta i})\}$$

Proof. From Lemma 8 we have,

$$r_{R\circ R_1}(x,x) = cr_1(x,x) + cr_{\tilde{R}_1}(x,x) + \sum_{\alpha<\beta} R_1(x, e_{\delta k}, J_\gamma e_{\alpha j}, J_\gamma e_{\beta j})(e_{\alpha i}\wedge e_{\beta i}, x\wedge e_{\delta k})$$

By the definition of $\tilde{R}_1$ we have,

$$r_{\tilde{R}_1}(x,x) = \sum_{\gamma\neq 0} R_1(x, e_{\beta i}, J_\gamma x, J_\gamma e_{\beta i})$$

The remaining term is

$$\begin{aligned}
&c\sum_{\alpha<\beta} R_1(x, e_{\delta k}, J_\gamma e_{\alpha j}, J_\gamma e_{\beta j})(e_{\alpha i}\wedge e_{\beta i}, x\wedge e_{\delta k})\\
&= c\sum_{\alpha<\beta} x_{\alpha i} R_1(x, e_{\beta i}, J_\gamma e_{\alpha j}, J_\gamma e_{\beta j})\\
&= \frac{c}{2}\sum R(x, J_\gamma x, e_{\beta j}, J_\gamma e_{\beta j})
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the lemma follows. □

Next we consider $R_1 = \bar{R}_h$ and compute $\langle r_{R\circ\bar{R}}, h\rangle$. Consider two symmetric 2-tensor defined by

$$\rho_h(x,x) = \sum_{\gamma>0} \bar{R}_h(x, e_{\beta i}, J_\gamma x, J_\gamma e_{\beta i})$$

and

$$\tilde{\rho}_h(x,x) = \sum_{\gamma>0} \bar{R}_h(x, J_\gamma x, e_{\beta i}, J_\gamma e_{\beta i})$$

and a symmetric 2-tensor h^γ defined by $h^\gamma(x,y) = h(J_\gamma x, J_\gamma y)$.

Lemma 13. *Let h be a symmetric 2-tensor with $\delta_g h = 0$ and $tr(h) = 0$.*

$$\langle \rho_h, h\rangle = \langle \overset{\circ}{R}(h), \tilde{h}\rangle + \frac{c}{2}(3\tau - 2)\langle \tilde{h}, h\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\{(n+1)c - \tau\lambda\}\|h\|^2$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
2(\rho_h, h) &= 2\sum \bar{R}(e_{\alpha p}, e_{\beta i}, J_\gamma e_{\delta q}, J_\gamma e_{\beta i}) h(e_{\alpha p}, e_{\delta q})\\
&= \sum h(e_{\alpha p}, e_{\delta q})[D^2_{e_{\alpha p}, J_\gamma e_{\beta i}} h(e_{\beta i}, J_\gamma e_{\delta q}) + D^2_{e_{\beta i}, J_\gamma e_{\delta q}} h(J_\gamma e_{\beta i}, e_{\alpha p})\\
&\quad - D^2_{e_{\beta i}, J_\gamma e_{\beta i}} h(e_{\alpha p}, J_\gamma e_{\delta q}) - D^2_{e_{\alpha p}, J_\gamma e_{\delta q}} h(e_{\beta i}, J_\gamma e_{\beta i})\\
&\quad + h(R(e_{\alpha p}, e_{\beta i}, J_\gamma e_{\delta q}), J_\gamma e_{\beta i}) - h(R(e_{\alpha p}, e_{\beta i}, J_\gamma e_{\beta i}), J_\gamma e_{\delta q})]\\
&= T_1 + T_2 - T_3 - T_4 + T_5
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1 &= \sum D^2_{e_{\alpha p}, J_\gamma e_{\beta i}} h(e_{\beta i}, J_\gamma e_{\delta q}) h(e_{\alpha p}, e_{\delta q})\\
&= -\sum D^2_{e_{\alpha p}, e_{\beta i}} h(J_\gamma e_{\beta i}, J_\gamma e_{\delta q}) h(e_{\alpha p}, e_{\delta q})\\
&= -\sum D^2_{e_{\alpha p}, e_{\beta i}} \tilde{h}(e_{\beta i}, e_{\delta q}) h(e_{\alpha p}, e_{\delta q})\\
&= (\delta_g^* \delta_g \tilde{h}, h)
\end{aligned}$$

By a similar computation as above we have,

$$-\sum D_{J_\gamma e_{\delta q}, e_{\beta i}} h(J_\gamma e_{\beta i}, e_{\alpha p}) = |\delta_g h^\gamma|^2$$

Next using Ricci identity we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
T_2 + |\delta_g h^\gamma|^2 &= [h(R(e_{\beta i}, J_\gamma e_{\delta q}, e_{\alpha p}), J_\gamma e_{\beta i}) + h(R(e_{\beta i}, J_\gamma e_{\delta q}, J_\gamma e_{\beta i}), e_{\alpha p})] h(e_{\alpha p}, e_{\delta q})\\
&= -[h(R(J_\gamma e_{\beta i}, e_{\delta q}, e_{\alpha p}), J_\gamma e_{\beta i}) + h(R(J_\gamma e_{\beta i}, e_{\delta q}, J_\gamma e_{\beta i}), e_{\alpha p})] h(e_{\alpha p}, e_{\delta q})\\
&= [\overset{\circ}{R}(\tilde{h})(e_{\alpha p}, e_{\delta q}) - \tau\lambda h(e_{\alpha p}, e_{\delta q})] h(e_{\alpha p}, e_{\delta q})\\
&= (\overset{\circ}{R}(\tilde{h}), h) - \tau\lambda |h|^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2T_3 &= [2D^2_{e_{\beta i},J_\gamma e_{\beta i}}h(e_{\alpha p},J_\gamma e_{\delta q})]h(e_{\alpha p},e_{\beta q}) \\ &= [D^2_{e_{\beta i},J_\gamma e_{\beta i}}h(e_{\alpha p},J_\gamma e_{\delta q}) - D^2_{J_\gamma e_{\beta i},e_{\beta i}}h(e_{\alpha p},J_\gamma e_{\delta q})]h(e_{\alpha p},e_{\delta q}) \end{aligned}$$

Using Ricci identity again we have,

$$T_3 = (n+1)c\{(\tilde{h},h) - |h|^2\}$$

Using the symmetry of h we have,

$$T_4 = \sum D^2_{e_{\alpha p},J_\gamma e_{\delta q}}h(e_{\beta i},J_\gamma e_{\beta i})h(e_{\alpha p},e_{\delta q}) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_5 &= [h(R(e_{\alpha p},e_{\beta i},J_\gamma e_{\delta q}),J_\gamma e_{\beta i}) - h(R(e_{\alpha p},e_{\beta i},J_\gamma e_{\beta i}),J_\gamma e_{\delta q})]h(e_{\alpha p},e_{\beta q}) \\ &= [h(R(J_\gamma e_{\alpha p},J_\gamma e_{\beta i},J_\gamma e_{\delta q}),J_\gamma e_{\beta i}) - h(R(J_\gamma e_{\alpha p},J_\gamma e_{\beta i},J_\gamma e_{\beta i}),J_\gamma e_{\delta q})]h(e_{\alpha p},e_{\delta q}) \\ &= [\overset{\circ}{R}(h)(J_\gamma e_\alpha,J_\gamma e_{\delta q}) + \lambda h(J_\gamma e_{\alpha p},J_\gamma e_{\delta q})]h(e_{\alpha p},e_{\delta q}) \\ &= (\overset{\circ}{R}(\tilde{h}),h) + \lambda(\tilde{h},h) \end{aligned}$$

Hence the lemma follows. □

Lemma 14. *Let h be a symmetric 2-tensor with $\delta_g h = 0$ and $tr(h) = 0$.*

$$\langle\tilde{\rho}_h,h\rangle = 2\langle\overset{\circ}{R}(h),\tilde{h}\rangle$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\tilde{\rho}_h,h) &= 2\bar{R}(e_{\alpha p},J_\gamma e_{\delta q},e_{\beta i},J_\gamma e_{\beta i})h(e_{\delta q},e_{\alpha p}) \\ &= \sum[D^{e_{\alpha p},J_\gamma e_{\beta i}}h(J_\gamma e_{\delta q},e_{\beta i}) + D^2_{J_\gamma e_{\delta q},e_{\beta i}}h(e_{\alpha p},J_\gamma e_{\beta i}) \\ &\quad -D^2_{e_{\alpha p},e_{\beta i}}h(J_\gamma e_{\delta q},J_\gamma e_{\beta i}) - D^2_{J_\gamma e_{\delta q},J_\gamma e_{\beta i}}h(e_{\alpha p},e_{\beta i}) \\ &\quad +h(R(e_{\alpha p},J_\gamma e_{\delta q},e_{\beta i}),J_\gamma e_{\beta i}) - h(R(e_{\alpha p},J_\gamma e_{\delta q},J_\gamma e_{\beta i}),e_{\beta i})]h(e_{\alpha p},e_{deltaq}) \\ &= S_1 + S_2 - S_3 - S_4 + S_5 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $S_1 = T_1$ and

$$S_3 = \sum h(e_{\alpha p},e_{\delta q})D^2_{e_{\alpha p},e_{\beta i}}h(J_\gamma e_{\delta q},J_\gamma e_{\beta i}) = -S_1$$

$$S_4 = \sum h(e_{\alpha p},e_{\delta q})D^2_{J_\gamma e_{\delta q},J_\gamma e_{\beta i}}h(e_{\alpha p},e_{\beta i}) = -S_2.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= \sum h(e_{\alpha p},e_{\delta q})D^2_{e_{\alpha p},J_\gamma e_{\beta i}}h(J_\gamma e_{\delta q},e_{\beta i}) \\ &= -\sum h(e_{\alpha p},e_{\delta q})D^2_{e_{\alpha p},e_{\beta i}}h(J_\gamma e_{\delta q},J_\gamma e_{\beta i}) \\ &= -\sum h(e_{\alpha p},e_{\delta q})D^2_{e_{\alpha p},e_{\beta i}}\tilde{h}(e_{\delta q},e_{\beta i}) \\ &= (\delta_g^*\tilde{h},h) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_5 &= \sum h(e_{\alpha p},e_{\delta q})[h(R(e_{\alpha p},J_\gamma e_{\delta q},e_{\beta i}),J_\gamma e_{\beta i}) - h(R(e_{\alpha p},J_\gamma e_{\delta q},J_\gamma e_{\beta i}),e_{\beta i})] \\ &= 2\sum h(e_{\alpha p},e_{\delta q})h(R(e_{\alpha p},J_\gamma e_{\delta q},e_{\beta i}),J_\gamma e_{\beta i}) \\ &= -2\sum h(e_{\alpha p},e_{\delta q})[h(R(J_\gamma e_{\delta q},e_{\beta i},e_{\alpha p}),J_\gamma e_{\beta i}) + h(R(e_{\beta i},e_{\alpha p},J_\gamma e_{\delta q}),J_\gamma e_{\beta i})] \\ &= 2\sum h(e_{\alpha p},e_{\delta q})[R(e_{\delta q},J_\gamma e_{\beta i},e_{\alpha p},.)h(.,J_\gamma e_{\beta i}) + R(e_{\alpha p},e_{\beta i},e_{\delta q},.)h(J_\gamma e_{\beta i},.)] \\ &= 4(\overset{\circ}{R}(\tilde{h}),h) \end{aligned}$$

Hence the lemma follows. □

Lemma 15. *Let h be a symmetric two tensor with $\delta_g h = 0$ and $tr(h) = 0$.*

$$\langle r_{R\circ\bar{R}}, h\rangle = \frac{c}{2}\|Dh\|^2 + c\langle \overset{\circ}{R}(h), \tilde{h}\rangle + \frac{c^2}{2}(3\tau-2)\langle \tilde{h}, h\rangle + \frac{c}{2}\{(n+1)c - (\tau-2)\lambda\}\|h\|^2$$

Proof. Using Lemma 12 we have,

$$(r_{R\circ\bar{R}}, h) \;=\; c(\bar{r}, h) + c(\rho_h, h) + \frac{c}{2}(\bar{\rho}_h, h)$$

From (2.3) we obtain,

$$\langle \bar{r}, h\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\|Dh\|^2 + \lambda\|h\|^2.$$

Next the lemma follows from Lemma 13 and 14. □

Lemma 16.

$$(\mathcal{K}, h\otimes h) = \{n + 10(\tau+1)\}\|\tilde{h}+h\|^2 + 4tr^2(\tilde{h}+h)$$

Proof. Let $h_1 = h + \tilde{h}$. Since R is J_γ invariant in first and last two entries we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \mathcal{K}, h\otimes h\rangle \\
=\;& \sum h_1(e_p,e_q)h_1(e_m,e_n)R(e_p,e_{\alpha i},e_m,e_{\beta j})R(e_q,e_{\alpha i},e_n,e_{\beta j}) \\
=\;& \sum h_1^2(e_p,e_p)R^2(e_p,e_{\alpha i},e_p,e_{\alpha i}) + 2\sum_{p\neq m} h_1(e_p,e_p)h_1(e_m,e_m)R^2(e_p,e_{\alpha p},e_m,e_{\alpha m}) \\
&+\sum_{p\neq q} h_1^2(e_p,e_q)R(e_p,e_{\alpha i},e_p,e_{\alpha i})R(e_q,e_{\alpha i},e_q,e_{\alpha i}) \\
&+2\sum_{p\neq q} h_1^2(e_p,e_q)R(e_p,e_{\alpha p},e_q,e_{\alpha q})R(e_q,e_{\alpha p},e_q,e_{\alpha q})\} \\
=\;& \{n+10(\tau+1)\}\|h_1\|^2 + 4tr^2(h_1)
\end{aligned}$$

□

5. Proof of the main theorem

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1. Using the lemmas in previous sections we prove Theorem 2 and 3. Then Theorem 1 will follow from them.

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.** Consider a symmetric two tensor h with $\delta_g h = 0$ and $tr(h) = 0$. From Theorem 4 and Lemma 11 and 15 we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
H(h,h) \;=\;& 2[\|D^*Dh - \frac{3}{2}\overset{\circ}{R}(h)\|^2 + (n+3\tau-3)c\|Dh\|^2 + 2\langle\mathcal{K}, h\otimes h\rangle + \frac{|R|^2}{n}\|h\|^2] \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\|\overset{\circ}{R}(h)\|^2 + 2c^2[(3n-3\tau+11)\langle h,\tilde{h}\rangle + (3\tau^2+n\tau-8\tau-1)\|h\|^2 - 24\|\tilde{h}\|^2]
\end{aligned}$$

One can prove that

$$\tau\|h\|^2 + 2\tau\langle h,\tilde{h}\rangle = \|\tilde{h}\|^2$$

$\mathbb{C}P^m$ **:** In this case $\tau = 1$, $c > 0$ and $|\tilde{h}|^2 = |h|^2$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
H(h,h) \;&\geq\; \langle 4\langle\mathcal{K}, h\otimes h\rangle + \frac{2|R|^2}{n}\|h\|^2 + (2n-65)c^2\|h\|^2 \\
&\geq\; \epsilon_1\|h\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{H}P^m$ **:** In this case $\tau = 3$ and $c > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
H(h,h) \;&\geq\; \langle 4\langle\mathcal{K}, h\otimes h\rangle + \frac{2|R|^2}{n}\|h\|^2 + 3(n+1)\|h\|^2 + (n-53)\|\tilde{h}\|^2 \\
&\geq\; \epsilon_2\|h\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{O}P^2$ **:** For $\mathcal{O}P^2$ $c>0$ and $\tau=7$.

$$\begin{aligned} H(h,h) &\geq (2n+76)\|\tilde{h}\|^2+(11n+188)\|h\|^2+\frac{2|R|^2}{n}\|h\|^2 \\ &= \geq \epsilon_3\|h\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Symmetric space of non-compact type : Let (M,g) be a compact quotient of a rank 1 symmetric space of non-compact type. Then $c<0$. In [4] M. Berger defined an operator $d^D : S^2(T^*M)\to \wedge^2 M\otimes T^*M$ given by

$$d^D(x,y,z)=D_xh(y,z)-D_yh(x,z)$$

Let δ^D be its formal adjoint. One can prove that if $\delta_g h=0$ and $tr(h)=0$ then

$$\delta^D d^D h = D^*Dh- \overset{\circ}{R}(h)+\lambda h$$

Since $\|d^Dh\|^2=\langle \delta^D d^D h,h\rangle \geq 0$ we have

$$\langle D^*Dh- \overset{\circ}{R}(h)+\lambda h,h\rangle \geq 0$$

Using this identity we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle D^*Dh-2\overset{\circ}{R}(h), D^*Dh+\lambda h- \overset{\circ}{R}(h)\rangle \\ &= \|D^*Dh-\frac{3}{2}\overset{\circ}{R}(h)+\lambda h\|^2-\lambda\langle D^*Dh- \overset{\circ}{R}(h)+\lambda h,h\rangle-\frac{1}{4}\|\overset{\circ}{R}(h)\|^2 \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{4}\|\overset{\circ}{R}(h)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 4 we have,

$$\begin{aligned} H(h,h) &\geq -\frac{1}{2}\|\overset{\circ}{R}(h)\|^2+4\langle \mathcal{K},h\otimes h\rangle+\frac{2|R|^2}{n}\|h\|^2+2\langle R\circ R,h\wedge h\rangle \\ &\quad +2c^2\{(n\tau-n+3\tau^2-7\tau+5)\|h\|^2+(14-3\tau)\langle\tilde{h},h\rangle-6\|\tilde{h}\|^2\} \\ &\geq \epsilon_4\|h\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof of Theorem 2 follows for $p=2$. From [9] (4.1) we have

$$H_p(h,h)=\frac{p}{2}|R|^{p-2}H(h,h) \text{ for all } h\in\delta_g^{-1}(0)\cap tr^{-1}(0)$$

Therefore, the theorem also holds for $\mathcal{R}_p$ for $p>2$.

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 3:** Now we consider the conformal variations of g. In this case the previous technique does not work. $(|R|^p)'_g$ contributes a some extra terms to the expression of H_p restricted to the conformal case. From Lemma 10 and [10] we have the following values of $\frac{|R|^2}{\lambda^2}$ and $\frac{\mu}{\lambda}$ for rank 1 symmetric space of compact type.

Symmetric space	τ	dim	$\frac{\lvert R\rvert^2}{\lambda^2}$	$\frac{\mu}{\lambda}$
$\mathbb{C}P^m$	1	$2m$	$\frac{m}{m+1}$	2
$\mathbb{H}P^m$	3	$4m$	$\frac{4m(5m+7)}{(m+2)^2}$	$\frac{2(m+1)}{m+2}$
$\mathbb{O}P^2$	7	16	$\frac{416}{27}$	$\frac{4}{3}$

Now the compact case of Theorem 3 follows from the above table and Proposition 2.1 in [9]. The non-compact case follows from the same proposition. This completes the proof of the main theorem. □

References

[1] M. Anderson, *Convergence and rigidity of manifolds under Ricci curvature bounds*, Invent. Math. **102** (1990), 429-445.

[2] M. Anderson, *Degeneration of metrics with bounded curvature and applications to critical metrics of Riemannian functional*, Procedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics **54** (1993), no. 3, 53-79.

[3] A. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)[Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)] **10**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1987).

[4] M. Berger, *Quelques formules de variation pour une structure riemannienne*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 4^e série **3** (1970), 285-294.

[5] A. Bhattacharya and S. Maity, *Some unstable critical metrics for the $L^{\frac{n}{2}}$-norm of the curvature tensor* Mathematical Research Letter **21** (2014), no. 2, 1-6.

[6] J. Cheeger and M. Gromov, *Collapsing Riemannian manifolds while keeping their curvature bounded I*, J. Differential Geom. **23** (1986), 309-346.

[7] J. Cheeger and M. Gromov, *Collapsing Riemannian manifolds while keeping their curvature bounded II*, J. Differential Geom. **32** (1990), 269-298.

[8] Z. Gao, *Convergence of Riemannian manifolds, Ricci pinching and $L^{\frac{n}{2}}$- curvature pinching* J. Differential Geom. **32** (1990), no. 3, 349-382.

[9] S. Maity, *On the stability of the L^p-norm of curvature tensor* Proc. of Indian Acad. of Sci. **124** (2014), no. 3, 383-409.

[10] H. Urakawa, *The first eigenvalue of the Laplacian for a positively curved homogeneous Riemannian manifold*, Compositio Math. **59** (1986), no. 1, 57-71.

[11] D. Yang, *Riemannian manifolds with small integral norm of curvature*, Duke Math. J. **65** (1992), no. 3, 501-510.

E-mail address: soma123maity@gmail.com